

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL HELD AT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, BLIGH STREET, ROSNY PARK, ON MONDAY 21 SEPTEMBER 2026

HOUR CALLED: 6.00pm

PRESENT: The meeting commenced at 6.01pm with the Mayor (Cr B A Blomeley) in the Chair and with Councillors:

H	Chong
J	Darko
E	Goyne
D	Hulme
R	James
W	Kennedy
T	Mulder
A	Ritchie (Arrived at 6.14pm)
J	Walker
B	Warren; present.

1. APOLOGIES B Hunter

ORDER OF BUSINESS Items 1 – 11

IN ATTENDANCE

Acting Chief Executive Officer
(Ms C Shea)

Acting Head of Infrastructure and Natural Assets
(Ms A Coombe)

Head of Community and Culture
(Ms T Cockburn)

Acting Head of Strategic Development Communications and Engagement
(Ms S Hannan)

Head of City Planning
(Mr D Marr)

Acting Head of Governance
(Mr P Warmbrunn)

Head of Regulatory Services
(Mr R Brennan)

Executive Officer to the Chief Executive Officer
(Ms J Ellis)

The Meeting closed at 8.12pm

COUNCIL MEETING
MONDAY 21 SEPTEMBER 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY	3
2.	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES	3
3.	DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF COUNCILLORS OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE	3
4.	OMNIBUS ITEMS	4
4.1	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	4
4.2	MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION	5
4.3	COUNCIL WORKSHOPS	7
4.4	TABLING OF PETITIONS	7
4.5	REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES	8
	REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES	8
	REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES	8
4.6	WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS	9
5.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	10
5.1	PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	10
5.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	10
5.3	ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	11
5.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE	14
6.	DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC	15
7	PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS	
7.1	PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/060959 – 20 AND 42 SCOTTS ROAD, RISDON VALE - STORAGE FACILITY (CONTRACTORS YARD) AND ASSOCIATED BUILDINGS AND INFRASTRUCTURE (PARTIAL RETROSPECTIVE APPLICATION)	17
7.2	PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/062775 – 24 ESPLANADE, SEVEN MILE BEACH – ADDITIONS AND ALTERATIONS (SINGLE DWELLING)	21
7.3	PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/063032 – 2/38 FREDERICK HENRY PARADE, CREMORNE - CHANGE OF USE TO VISITOR ACCOMMODATION	24
7.4	PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/061513 – 23 AND 25 HILL STREET, BELLERIVE – THREE MULTIPLE DWELLINGS (ONE EXISTING AND TWO NEW) AND BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT	26

8. REPORTS OF OFFICERS**8.1 DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS - NIL ITEMS****8.2 ASSET MANAGEMENT - NIL ITEMS****8.3 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT - NIL ITEMS****8.4 GOVERNANCE****8.4.1 RECISSION OF COUNCIL DECISION – ITEM 9.2 OF 31 AUGUST 2026 COUNCIL MEETING – BAYVIEW PARK 31****9. MOTIONS ON NOTICE**

9.1	NOTICE OF MOTION – COUNCILLOR GOYNE HERBICIDE-FREE TRIAL – BELLERIVE BEACH PARKS	33
10.	COUNCILLORS' QUESTION TIME	34
10.1	QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	34
10.2	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE	34
10.3	ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING.....	35
10.4	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE	37
11.	CLOSED MEETING.....	38
11.1	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	

1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Mayor:

- made the following statement:

“Before proceeding, I pay my respects to the Mumirimina people as the traditional and original custodians of the lands on which we meet, and I acknowledge the continuing connection of the Tasmanian Aboriginal people to the skies, land and waterways.

I pay respect to Elders past and present.”

- invited those present to pause for a moment of quiet reflection and respect before commencing the council meeting.
- advised the Meeting and members of the public that Council Meetings, not including Closed Meeting, are livestreamed, audio-visually recorded and published to Council’s website. The meeting is not protected by privilege. A link to the Agenda is available via Council’s website.
- advised that conduct at our council meeting must be respectful and considerate. Any conduct that is considered offensive, defamatory or threatening is not acceptable and will not be tolerated.

2. APOLOGIES

Refer to cover page.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS OF COUNCILLORS OR CLOSE ASSOCIATE

In accordance with Regulation 10 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025 and Council’s adopted Code of Conduct, the Mayor requests Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have a pecuniary interest (any pecuniary benefits or pecuniary detriment) or conflict of interest in any item on the Agenda.

INTEREST DECLARED: NIL

4. OMNIBUS ITEMS**4.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES****Council Meeting 10 August 2026**

It has been brought to Council's attention that a discrepancy exists between the minutes of the meeting of 10 August 2026 and the recording of that meeting. The discrepancy relates to Item 7.1 Planning Application PDPLANPMTD-2025/057675 – 242 Tranmere Road, Tranmere – Additions And Alterations Including Rectification Works (Single Dwelling).

Regulation 43(7) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025 requires that if after the minutes of a meeting have been confirmed as a true record a discrepancy between the minutes and an audio recording of that meeting is noticed, council is to review the audio recording and either confirm that the minutes are a true record or amend the minutes to reflect the audio recording. Council's audio visual recording has been reviewed which shows that Councillor Goyne voted for the item which was not reflected in the minutes. The minutes have been corrected and now need to be confirmed as amended to be a true record.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 10 August 2026, as amended, be taken as read and confirmed".

Decision: **MOVED** Cr Warren **SECONDED** Cr Chong

"That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 10 August 2026, as amended, be taken as read and confirmed".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Council Meeting 31 August 2026**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 31 August 2026, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed.

Decision: **MOVED** Cr Warren **SECONDED** Cr Chong

"That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on 31 August 2026, as circulated, be taken as read and confirmed".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4.2 MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION

The Mayor reported on the following meetings and attendances since the last Council Meeting:

- 1 September: Federal Hospitality Partner Awards;
- 2 September: 106.5 Interview with David Wood – Clarence Prayer Breakfast;
The Hon. Caroline Wells Governor of Tasmania Vice Regal Visit to Clarence City Council;
- 3 September: National Flag Day Luncheon;
By the Derwent Gallery Walk – Howrah Primary School;
Opening Exhibition – Abbie Whitton, Helen Spencer (Artists);
- 4 September: Governor of Tasmania | King's Birthday Honours and Investitures;
Order of Australia Association Luncheon;
Awards Night – Cremorne Bowls and Community Club;
- 5 September: SFL Premier League Senior Men – Finals Round 1;
Nepal Flood Relief Support Dinner;
- 6 September: South Arm Community Market;
- 8 September: International Overdose Awareness Event 2026;
- 9 September: Banjos Banter 4 Blokes – Lindisfarne;
Warrane Mornington Neighbourhood Centre Community Breakfast;
SERDA General Meeting & AGM;
- 10 September: Men's Resources Tasmania – World Suicide Prevention Day;
Exhibition Opening – Designed Objects Tasmania;
- 11 September: Visit to Roches Beach Living;
Bill Sorell & Wrest Point Sports Lunch;
- 12 September: Battle of Britain Commemoration 2026 – Ecumenical Commemorative Church Service;
Battle of Britain Commemoration 2026 Dinner;
- 13 September: Battle of Britain Commemoration 2026 – Wreath Laying Ceremony;
Battle of Britain Commemoration 2026 – BBQ Luncheon;
SFL Premier League Senior Men – Preliminary Final – Lauderdale v Glenorchy;
- 14 September: Tigers Season Launch – Cricket Tasmania;
- 15 September: Woolworths Lauderdale – Sod Turn;
- 17 September: NECA's new Cambridge Office and Training Facility Opening;
Lindisfarne Primary School – Preps & Grade 1 Students;
Clarence Seniors Training Session – Geilston Bay No 2 Oval;
Zonta Club of Hobart – Cocktail Party;
- 18 September: Citizenship Ceremony – Clarence on the Bay;
10 Years of Operation Southern Discovery Dining in Night;
- 19 September: SFL Premier League Senior Men – Grand Final; and
- 20 September: Cremorne Bowls and Community Club Triples Challenge.

Councillor Heather Chong (on behalf of Mayor)

- 12 September: Football Tasmania Awards.

/ contd on Page 6...

MAYOR'S COMMUNICATION /contd...

The Mayor further reported on the following:

- **Lauderdale win SFL Premier League Grand Final**
The Mayor congratulated the Lauderdale Football Club on their win in the 2026 SFL Premier League Grand Final against Clarence Football Club.
- **Visit by Governor of Tasmania**
The Mayor tabled a card from Her Excellency, the Hon Caroline Wells, Governor of Tasmania expressing her appreciation following her recent Vice-Regal Visit to the City.
- **Jane Longhurst – Churchill Fellow**
The Mayor tabled a letter he had written to Ms Jane Longhurst, congratulating her on being awarded a Churchill Fellowship.
- **Letters of Appreciation**
 - The Mayor read out a letter he had received from Tyler Self thanking council for a quick response grant he had received which assisted him in travelling to Ballarat to represent Tasmania in the cross-country event.
 - The Mayor tabled a letter from Mr and Mrs Smart of Howrah who wrote to council expressing their appreciation for the work done by council staff conducting pipe and drainage work at their property.
- **Acknowledgement of bravery**
The Mayor read out a communication from Cr Hulme acknowledging the bravery of Ms Mattie Dodd who recently rescued an elderly gentleman from a burning house.
- **Local Government – Targeted Reforms**
The Mayor tabled a letter he had received from the Acting Director of the Office of Local Government, regarding commencement of provisions under the Local Government Amendment (Targeted Reform) Act 2026.
- **National Competition Policy**
The Mayor tabled a letter he had received from the Secretary of the Department of Treasury and Finance regarding implementation of National Competition Policy Principles and other related work.

4.3 COUNCIL WORKSHOPS

A Councillor's Meeting Briefing (workshop) was conducted on the Friday immediately preceding the Council Meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Councillor's Meeting Briefing (workshop) conducted on the Friday immediately preceding the Council Meeting be noted.

Decision: **MOVED** Cr Warren **SECONDED** Cr Chong

"That the Recommendation be adopted".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4.4. TABLING OF PETITIONS

(Note: Petitions received by Councillors are to be forwarded to the Chief Executive Officer within seven days after receiving the petition).

Petitions are not to be tabled if they do not comply with Section 57(2) of the Local Government Act, or are defamatory, or the proposed actions are unlawful.

Nil.

4.5 REPORTS FROM OUTSIDE BODIES

This agenda item is listed to facilitate the receipt of both informal and formal reporting from various outside bodies upon which Council has a representative involvement.

REPORTS FROM SINGLE AND JOINT AUTHORITIES

Provision is made for reports from Single and Joint Authorities if required.

Council is a participant in the following Single and Joint Authorities. These Authorities are required to provide quarterly reports to participating Councils, and these will be listed under this segment as and when received.

- **COPPING REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE JOINT AUTHORITY**

Representative: Cr Walker
Cr Goyne (Proxy)

Quarterly Reports

September and December 2025 and March and June 2026 Quarterly Reports pending.

Representative Reporting

Cr Walker tabled the Quarterly Reports for the periods ending 31 December 2025, 31 March 2026 and 30 June 2026.

- **TASWASTE SOUTH**

Representative: Cr Ritchie (Mayor's nominee)
Cr Hunter (Proxy)

- **TASWATER CORPORATION**

- **GREATER HOBART COMMITTEE**

REPORTS FROM COUNCIL AND SPECIAL COMMITTEES AND OTHER REPRESENTATIVE BODIES

AUSTRALIAN COASTAL COUNCILS ASSOCIATION

Councillor Mulder provided the newsletter from the Australian Coastal Councils Association Inc dated September 2026 for information.

CYCLING SOUTH

- Cr Chong tabled the minutes of a meeting for Cycling South held on 16 June and the draft minutes of a meeting held on 15 September 2026.

4.6 WEEKLY BRIEFING REPORTS

The Weekly Briefing Reports of 31 August and 7 and 14 September 2026 have been circulated to Councillors.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information contained in the Weekly Briefing Reports of 31 August and 7 and 14 September 2026 be noted.

Cr Ritchie arrived at the Meeting at this stage (6.14pm).

Decision: **MOVED** Cr Warren **SECONDED** Cr Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public question time at ordinary Council meetings will not exceed 15 minutes. An individual may ask questions at the meeting. Questions may be submitted to Council in writing on the Friday 10 days before the meeting or may be raised from the Public Gallery during this segment of the meeting.

The Chairman may request a Councillor or Council officer to answer a question. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers. Questions and answers are to be kept as brief as possible.

5.1 PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, a member of the public may give written notice to the Chief Executive Officer of a question to be asked at the meeting). A maximum of two questions may be submitted in writing before the meeting.

Questions on notice and their answers will be included in the minutes.

Mr Bradley Walker of Howrah gave notice of the following questions:

PAY RISE COUNCIL EMPLOYEES

1. What has been each annual pay rise for council employees for the past 4 years?

WEED MANAGEMENT

2. I originally brought to council's attention pipe works behind Raleigh Court, Howrah in 2021. Council have confirmed these works were associated with SD-2010/44 and controlled under a Weed and Hygiene Management Plan dated September 2020. Although bringing weed management concern to council's attention almost every year and council having an officer inspect the site recently. No weed control has occurred since the works proceeded in 2021. It's my understanding the developer is now wanting to get stages 3 and 4 sealed yet still aren't complying with weed management for this particular area which council are saying formed part of SD-2010/44 and subsequently governed by its weed management plan. Thousands upon thousands of weeds have spread from this site onto council and public owned land over these past 5 years and Spring is about to spread them some more. What action is council going to take on this?

5.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

The Mayor may address Questions on Notice submitted by members of the public.

1. PAY RISE COUNCIL EMPLOYEES

The Clarence City Council Enterprise Agreement #12/2025 and its predecessors provide an entitlement for an increase in base rates of the higher of 2.5% or the Hobart All Groups Consumer Price Index percentage variation for the year ending March and paid from the first full pay period commencing on or after 1 July of that year.

/ contd on Page 11...

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE /contd...

Over the past four years the increases have been as follows:

	CPI result	Actual increase to base rates
2026	5.1%	5.1%
2025	1.4%	2.5%
2024	3.1%	3.1%
2023	6.9%	6.9%

2. WEED MANAGEMENT

Each stage of the subdivision requires a weed management plan which is required to be implemented prior to the sealing of the lots.

Council officers have inspected the site and are continuing to work with the property owner to ensure the weed management requirements applying to the development are met. Council has requested that the necessary weed control be undertaken and will continue to monitor the site and follow up with the owner regarding compliance.

5.3 ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

The Chief Executive Officer provides the following answers to Questions taken on Notice from members of the public at previous Council Meetings.

At Council's Meeting of 31 August 2026 Mr J Woodward of Risdon Vale asked the following question.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/060959

My question is relating to development application PDPLANPMTD-2026/060959 which relates to the Storage Facility, Contractors' yard and Associated Buildings and Infrastructure partial retrospective application for 20 and 42 Scotts Road, Risdon Vale, which is the Spectran Reprocess Tas site and my questions and concerns regarding this are that this document is submitted as a retrospective and future planning document. It has multiple prior reports attached to it as supporting documentation which still contain activities which have been discontinued as per the EPA Tasmania environmental protection notice that was filed against Spectran. The documentation still makes reference to storing materials and associated activities that are previously filed as part of the use classification in its light industrial zoning. So, my concern is can this proceed with such confusing inaccuracies contained in the documentation and should the request be refiled with specifics relating to exactly what they want to do with the site rather than referencing any of the previous activities with regard to waste management, storage, processing and other uses?

ANSWER

The Head of City Planning took the question on notice.

/ contd on Page 12...

ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE /contd...

(Further information) This application has a long history of development with a recent Level 2 planning application being withdrawn. The documents have been modified to confine the activities to that which can be solely considered by Council. This has created some confusion. However, as there is sufficient information to determine the application, it is considered inappropriate to require further changes to “tidy up” the application. Instead, it is considered more appropriate to bring the matter to Council for determination. The matter is listed on this Agenda.

At Council’s Meeting of 31 August 2026 Mr B Walker of Howrah asked the following question.

HOMELESSNESS

My question is for you, Mayor Blomeley. Following last meeting’s discussions about homeless people staying at the boulevard site, I called yourself on the Friday morning to discuss options for Danny’s Bus to potentially provide assistance for those living there. I left a message but have yet to receive a reply. The Rokeby Hills Landcare group also reached out to yourself by email to discuss their activities in the reserve, which was declined. You have often mentioned you have an open door policy, could you please expand on how the community can best connect with you?

ANSWER

The Mayor took the question on notice

(Further information) As Mayor, I remain committed to being accessible to members of the Clarence community and welcome genuine engagement on matters affecting our city.

I acknowledge that Mr Walker left me a telephone message. While it is not always possible for me to respond personally to every approach, community members can contact the Office of the Mayor via email and phone or contact Council through its established customer contact channels. This helps ensure enquiries are recorded and directed to the appropriate area for a timely response.

In relation to the Rokeby Hills Landcare Group, the most recent correspondence received by me is dated 28 March 2022. Any current request from the group can be forwarded to Council for consideration by the relevant area.

Anyone seeking assistance or proposing an initiative - including in relation to homelessness or activities on Council-managed land - is encouraged to provide sufficient information for the matter to be properly considered and directed to the appropriate area of Council.

At Council’s Meeting of 31 August 2026 Rachael [surname not given] of Lindisfarne asked the following question:

CLARENCE CITY COUNCIL LOGO POLICY

My question is about the Clarence City Council logo policy. I recently saw on Facebook a seemingly council approved post promoting the Poochibald Prize and I really admire the Poochibald Prize, it is a great initiative to support creativity and visual arts in the municipality and beyond but I was therefore disappointed to hear that the post was completely AI generated, complete with an AI generated painting of a dog.

/ contd on Page 13...

ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE /contd...

My immediate response was why not use the many previous entrants' creative work, human generated, wonderful pieces of artwork.

So, I queried that on a post. My query was immediately deleted, so I posted the question again because I thought perhaps that was an accident, but I was actually blocked then so I could no longer see any of these posts. So, I contacted the council because I was worried that this doesn't seem like a great response by a council to block a legitimate query about a council post and I discovered it wasn't actually a council approved post, despite having the council logo, it was a post by the Deputy Mayor and so that response I received by being blocked was actually the Deputy Mayor's response. So my question is, what is the council's policy about elected members posting unapproved material with a council logo because as a ratepayer and a member of the municipality, it is quite confusing to see things that appear to be council approved and if they are in fact not, I think there needs to be some policy around that so that people can understand what is an official council position and what is not because AI use is a concerning development it is not entirely concerning but it certainly is where it might replace human creativity. I admire the council in supporting the visual arts so this is just an example I suppose about what can happen if there are no controls about what is council approved and what is not, so my question is, what is the council's logo policy about elected members using the council logo?

ANSWER

The Chief Executive Officer took the question on notice.

(Further information) Council has a Use of Council Arms / Logo Policy 2006. The policy can be found on council's website: [Council-Policy_Use-of-Logo-1.pdf](#) The policy permits councillors to use the 'Clarence – a brighter place' logo for communications with the community, including self-generated communications provided:

- its use is not to construe an official communication of the Council; and
- that the councillor uses the logo to give emphasis that the councillor is communicating as an elected member of the Council.

Councillor social media pages are not regulated by council – they are personal pages of the relevant elected member. In that context, it is a matter for each councillor as to how they manage their social media.

There are no restrictions on councillors using AI generated messages.

Mr Victor Marsh of Bellerive asked the following question:

KANGAROO BAY SPORTS OVAL

I note that Kangaroo Bay Sports Oval is closed in preparation for the cricket season. How much are ratepayers now paying per year for maintenance costs for a once public open space?

Answer

The Chief Executive Officer took the question on notice.

/ cont on Page 14...

ANSWERS TO PREVIOUS QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE /contd...

(Further information) The Kangaroo Bay Oval maintenance costs for the 2025-2026 financial year are approximately \$163,620. This includes the weekly operational costs and approximate costs for the spring renovation in preparation for the cricket season. Over the cricket season, the oval is hired between 6 and 7 days a week, with a total of 1,174.5 hours of use for the 2025-26 season.

5.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

The Chairperson may invite members of the public present to ask questions without notice.

Mr B J Walker of Howrah asked the following question:

CARETAKER POLICY CLARIFICATION

Some clarification on the current caretaker policy that council are working under, I note that we have an application on Scotts Road which has had a lot of ongoing community interest. My understanding is that this application has not been discussed at a councillor workshop so could I just get some clarification - the Local Government Election Bill that introduces mandatory caretaker provisions, does this Bill enforce a restriction on councillor workshops?

ANSWER

The Mayor provided the following response.

The matter before us this evening which we cannot refer to in question time was discussed at our agenda briefing on Friday where every elected member who attended that agenda briefing had an opportunity to put questions to the officers.

(Further information) Council's Agenda Briefings are held on the Friday before the Council Meeting to provide Councillors the opportunity to ask questions of officers regarding items on the agenda before formal discussion at the meeting.

The listing of the briefing as a workshop in the agenda is to satisfy the requirements of Regulation 10(3):

"The agenda of an ordinary council meeting is to provide for, but is not limited to, the following items:

...(c) the date and purpose of any council workshop held since the last meeting..."

For the purpose of the Regulation, **council workshop** means a workshop, seminar or gathering of persons for the purposes of a council, but does not include a meeting or a meeting of a special committee.

The proposed caretaker provisions contained in the Local Government Election Bill do not propose to prohibit Council from holding Agenda Briefings or workshops. The restrictions relate to certain decision-making and activities during the caretaker period rather than the holding of briefings for information and discussion purposes.

Council's Public Question Time Policy can be found on Council's website at [Public Question Time - City of Clarence : City of Clarence \(ccc.tas.gov.au\)](https://www.ccc.tas.gov.au/public-question-time)

6. DEPUTATIONS BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

(In accordance with Regulation 46 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025 and in accordance with Council Policy, deputation requests are invited to address the Meeting and make statements or deliver reports to Council)

Nil.

7 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

In accordance with Regulation 29 (1) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025, the Mayor advises that the Council intends to act as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, to deal with the following items:

7.1 PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/060959 – 20 AND 42 SCOTTS ROAD, RISDON VALE - STORAGE FACILITY (CONTRACTORS YARD) AND ASSOCIATED BUILDINGS AND INFRASTRUCTURE (PARTIAL RETROSPECTIVE APPLICATION)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a Storage Facility (Contractors Yard) and associated buildings and infrastructure (partial retrospective application) at 20 and 42 Scotts Road, Risdon Vale.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Light Industrial and subject to the Parking and Sustainable Transport Code, Bushfire-prone Areas Code, Flood-prone Hazard Areas Code, Natural Assets Code, Potentially Contaminated Land Code, Road and Railway Assets Code and the Safeguarding of Airports Code under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme - Clarence (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary use and/or development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory period which expires on 23 September 2026.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements, and four representations were received raising the following issues:

- Stormwater drainage;
- Poor site history;
- Accuracy of application information;
- Cessation of Level 2 activity;
- Memorandum of Understanding 143/13 P;
- No current noise assessment;
- Shed contents;
- Grass Tree Hill Road maintenance;
- Potential fire danger;
- Visual impacts and screening;
- Residential Amenity; and
- Improvement in air quality.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Planning Application for Storage Facility (Contractors Yard) and associated buildings and infrastructure (Partial Retrospective Application) at 20 and 42 Scotts Road, Risdon Vale (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2026/060959) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.

2. Any works occurring within or near to a waterway must be undertaken generally in accordance with 'Wetlands and Waterways Works Manual' (DPIWE, 2003) and "Tasmanian Coastal Works Manual" (DPIPWE, Page and Thorp, 2010), and the unnecessary use of machinery within waterway areas must be avoided.

3. GEN AM7 – OUTDOOR LIGHTING.

4. A minimum of 54 car parking spaces must be provided on-site. Each space, including disabled parking, must be clearly marked and used solely for parking purposes.

5. All loading and unloading of vehicles must be carried out wholly within the property.

The loading and unloading of goods, materials and equipment must only be carried out on the site within designated areas and must not disrupt the parking and circulation of vehicles on the site.

6. Within six months of the date of this permit, a Parking and Traffic Management Plan must be submitted to and approved by Council's Chief Executive Officer or delegate.

The plan must be to scale and generally in accordance with the recommendations contained within the Traffic Impact Assessment prepared by Hubble Traffic Consulting (June 2023) and must show:

- (a) the location and number of all car parking spaces;
- (b) bicycle parking facilities;
- (c) loading and unloading areas;
- (d) internal vehicle circulation routes;
- (e) pedestrian pathways and pedestrian safety measures;
- (f) parking delineation and line marking;
- (g) access widths and access arrangements; and
- (h) any associated traffic management signage.

When approved, the Parking and Traffic Management Plan will form part of this permit. All works and measures identified on the approved Parking and Traffic Management Plan must be implemented within the same six month period and thereafter maintained to the satisfaction of Council's Chief Executive Officer or delegate for the life of the use.

7. Access associated with the approved industrial and transport depot activities must be via the approved access arrangement shown on the endorsed plans. Any modification to the approved access arrangement requires the further written approval of Council.
8. The development and use must be undertaken substantially in accordance with the recommendations of the Flood Hazard Report prepared by Flüssig Engineers dated 23 December 2021. Any future buildings or works within the flood-prone hazard area not assessed by that report will require separate assessment and approval by Council.
9. A landscape plan must be submitted to and approved by Council's Chief Executive Officer or delegate prior to the commencement of works to ensure that adequate screening is provided along the frontages to Grasstree Hill Road and Scotts Road, Risdon Vale. The plan must be to scale and show:
 - a north point;
 - existing trees to be retained and those to be removed;
 - proposed driveways, paths, buildings, car parking, retaining walls and fencing;
 - any proposed rearrangement of ground levels;
 - details of proposed plantings including botanical names, and the height and spread of canopy at maturity; and
 - estimated cost of the landscaping works.

The landscaping works must be completed prior to the commencement of the use. All landscape works must be maintained:

- in perpetuity by the current and future owners/occupiers of the land, regardless of any change in ownership;
- in a healthy state; and
- in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

If any of the vegetation comprising the landscaping dies or is removed, it is to be replaced with vegetation of the same species and, to the greatest extent practicable, the same maturity, as the vegetation which died, or which was removed.

10. LAND 3 – LANDSCAPE BOND (COMMERCIAL).

11. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 14/05/2026 (TWDA 2026/00466-CCC).

B. That in addition to standard advice, the following advice be provided to the proponent:

- a. Council, as a Stormwater authority, formed a view that the proposed development will intensify the stormwater discharge from the property and hence requires approval under the Urban Drainage Act 2013 and the stormwater is to be designed as per Council's Stormwater Management Procedure for new development (Stormwater-Management-Procedure-for-New-Development (1).pdf). This requirement will be assessed as part of engineering plans assessment if the proposed DA is approved.

b. All plumbing works must comply with the Tasmanian Plumbing Code and Australian Standard 3500.

C. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision: **MOVED** Cr James **SECONDED** Cr Ritchie

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7.2 PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/062775 – 24 ESPLANADE, SEVEN MILE BEACH – ADDITIONS AND ALTERATIONS (SINGLE DWELLING)**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for additions and alterations (Single Dwelling) at 24 Esplanade, Seven Mile Beach.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Low Density Residential and subject to the Coastal Erosion Hazard, Coastal Inundation Hazard, Flood-prone Hazard Areas, Natural Assets, Safeguarding of Airports, and the Parking and Sustainable Transport Codes under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme - Clarence (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary use and/or development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory period which expires on 25 September 2026.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements, and three representations were received raising the following issues:

- Stormwater drainage to Acton Creek;
- Visual bulk; and
- Privacy.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Planning Application for Additions and Alterations (Single Dwelling) at 24 Esplanade, Seven Mile Beach (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2026/062775) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. The outbuilding (garage and mezzanine) is approved for residential storage only, and must not be used for habitable, commercial, or other unapproved use without further approval from the Planning Authority or authorised delegate.
3. This permit approves the Single Dwelling (Residential) use of the site only, and the dwelling must not be divided into separate lots created under the *Strata Titles Act 1998*.

4. ENG M1 – DESIGNS DA.
5. ENG M5 – EROSION CONTROL.
6. The stormwater outlet to Acton Creek must be designed to provide safe maintenance access and incorporate scour protection and energy dissipation measures to the satisfaction of Council's Chief Executive Officer or delegate.
7. An erosion and sedimentation control plan, in accordance with the Derwent Estuary Program Soil and Water Management on Building and Construction Sites document, must be submitted and approved by Council's Head of Infrastructure and Natural Assets prior to the commencement of the works / prior to the issue of a certificate of likely compliance (CLC) for building works, (whichever occurs first). All debris/construction materials must be contained within the property. All works must be carried out in compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan or to the satisfaction of Council's Chief Executive Officer or delegate prior to the commencement of works.
8. Prior to commencement of works, detailed engineering drawings of the stormwater discharge system to Acton Creek must be submitted to and approved by Council's Chief Executive Officer or delegate.

The drawings must demonstrate:

- the location and extent of the discharge point;
- erosion and scour protection measures;
- outlet energy dissipation measures;
- protection of adjoining land and the creek bank; and
- compliance with the recommendations of the submitted consultant reports.

The approved works must be installed prior to occupation of the development.

- B. That in addition to standard advice, the following advice be provided to the proponent:
 - a. The property is within a mapped Coastal Erosion Hazard prone area. Your Building Surveyor will advise if any Hazard Reports for Erosion may be required from a suitably qualified person that meets the requirements of the Determinations and *Building Act 2016*. These reports must form part of the certified documents issued by the Building Surveyor for the building application.
- C. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

/ Refer to Page 23 for Decision on this Item...

**PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/062775 – 24 ESPLANADE,
SEVEN MILE BEACH – ADDITIONS AND ALTERATIONS (SINGLE DWELLING)
/contd...**

Decision:

MOVED Cr Kennedy **SECONDED** Cr Chong

“That the Recommendation be adopted”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7.3 PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/063032 – 2/38 FREDERICK HENRY PARADE, CREMORNE - CHANGE OF USE TO VISITOR ACCOMMODATION**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for a Change of Use to Visitor Accommodation at 2/38 Frederick Henry Parade, Cremorne.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned Low Density Residential and subject to the Parking and Sustainable Transport Code, Natural Assets Code, Coastal Erosion Hazard Code, and the Safeguarding of Airports Code under the Tasmanian Planning Scheme - Clarence (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary use and/or development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory period which expires on 23 September 2026.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements, and two representations were received raising the following issues:

- Property security and privacy impacts;
- Waste management;
- Impact on the residential function of the strata scheme;
- Noise impacts;
- Safety and fire risk; and
- Impacts upon shared driveway.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Planning Application for Change of Use to Visitor Accommodation at 2/38 Frederick Henry Parade, Cremorne (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2026/063032) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. No more than six guests are approved for Visitor Accommodation use of the site at any time.

- B. That in addition to standard advice, the following advice be provided to the proponent:
- a. Short Term/Visitor Accommodation:
A Building Self-Assessment Form is required to be submitted for the Short or Medium-Term Visitor Accommodation. To obtain a copy of the form, please contact the Building Team on 6217 9580.
 - b. The use is not to cause an environmental nuisance to the owners or occupiers of land in the surrounding area by reason of noise, smell, fumes, dust or other pollutants emanating from the site.
- C. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council’s decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	MOVED Cr Hulme SECONDED Cr Kennedy	
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Cr Blomeley	Cr Darko
	Cr Chong	Cr James
	Cr Goyne	Cr Warren
	Cr Hulme	
	Cr Kennedy	
	Cr Mulder	
	Cr Ritchie	
	Cr Walker	

7.4 PLANNING APPLICATION PDPLANPMTD-2026/061513 – 23 AND 25 HILL STREET, BELLERIVE - THREE MULTIPLE DWELLINGS (ONE EXISTING AND TWO NEW) AND BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

The purpose of this report is to consider the application made for Three Multiple Dwellings (One Existing and Two New) and Boundary Adjustment at 23 and 25 Hill Street, Bellerive.

RELATION TO PLANNING PROVISIONS

The land is zoned General Residential and subject to the Parking and Sustainable Transport Code and the Safeguarding of Airports Code under the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme - Clarence* (the Scheme). In accordance with the Scheme the proposal is a Discretionary use and/or development.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

The report on this item details the basis and reasons for the recommendation. Any alternative decision by Council will require a full statement of reasons in order to maintain the integrity of the Planning approval process and to comply with the requirements of the Judicial Review Act and the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025.

Council is required to exercise a discretion within the statutory period which expires on 23 September 2026.

CONSULTATION

The proposal was advertised in accordance with statutory requirements, and four representations were received raising the following issues:

- Overshadowing and Loss of Sunlight;
- Visual Bulk and Impact on Residential Amenity;
- Building Height and Impact on Character; and
- Loss of Privacy and Overlooking.

RECOMMENDATION:

A. That the Planning Application for Three Multiple Dwellings (One Existing and Two New) and Boundary Adjustment at 23 and 25 Hill Street, Bellerive (Cl Ref PDPLANPMTD-2026/061513) be approved subject to the following conditions and advice.

1. GEN AP1 – ENDORSED PLANS.
2. The development must meet all required Conditions of Approval specified by TasWater notice dated 9 July 2026 (TWDA 2026/00572-CCC).

3. On completion of the dwellings, the proponent/landowner must provide to Council confirmation that the dwellings are constructed as approved under this permit. This confirmation must include a survey plan from a registered land surveyor that shows the dwellings as constructed are within the building envelope prescribed in clause 8.4.2 of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme and shows the dwelling heights relative to the site boundaries.
 4. Prior to the occupancy or completion (whichever occurs first) of the additional dwellings, the sealing of the final plan for the boundary adjustment must have occurred and be lodged with the Recorder of Titles.
 5. The Final Plan and accompanying Schedule of Easements must describe all existing easements and any additional easements in respect of all Council infrastructure required to service the lots in a form to the satisfaction of Council's CEO or delegated officer.
- B. That the details and conclusions included in the Associated Report be recorded as the reasons for Council's decision in respect of this matter.

Decision:	MOVED Cr Hulme SECONDED Cr Mulder	
	"That the Recommendation be adopted".	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Cr Blomeley	Cr Darko
	Cr Chong	Cr Warren
	Cr Goyne	
	Cr Hulme	
	Cr James	
	Cr Kennedy	
	Cr Mulder	
	Cr Ritchie	
	Cr Walker	

Council now concludes its deliberations as a Planning Authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act, 1993.

8. REPORTS OF OFFICERS

8.1 DETERMINATION ON PETITIONS TABLED AT PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

Nil Items.

8.2 ASSET MANAGEMENT

Nil Items.

8.3 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Nil Items.

8.4 GOVERNANCE**8.4.1 RECISSION OF COUNCIL DECISION – ITEM 9.2 OF 31 AUGUST 2026
COUNCIL MEETING – BAYVIEW PARK****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****PURPOSE**

To rescind the Council decision made at the 31 August 2026 council meeting in respect to Item 9.2 (to defer consideration of upgrades to Bayview Park in Lauderdale to a workshop) and to authorise commencement of the Request for Tender process for upgrade of Bayview Park.

RELATION TO EXISTING POLICY/PLANS

Council's Strategic Plan 2025–2035 and Annual Plan 2026/27 are relevant.

LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Nil.

CONSULTATION

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Deferral of tendering and construction of the Bayview Park upgrade project until a workshop can be held will be likely to lead to increased costs; however, these costs cannot be quantified at this time. Additionally, there may be impacts related to grant funding of the project should further extensions of time not be granted. Proceeding to tender and construction now will reduce the risk of additional costs being incurred.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

- A. Rescinds its decision at the Council meeting of 31 August 2026 in respect to Item 9.2 (Bayview Park Upgrade) vis *'That Item 9.2 be deferred for consideration at a Workshop'*; and
- B. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to commence the Request for Tender process as originally planned.

/ Refer to Page 32 for Decision on this Item...

RECISSION OF COUNCIL DECISION – ITEM 9.2 OF 31 AUGUST 2026 COUNCIL MEETING – BAYVIEW PARK /contd...

Decision:	MOVED Cr Mulder SECONDED Cr Warren	
	“That the Recommendation be adopted”.	
	CARRIED	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Cr Blomeley	Cr Goyne
	Cr Chong	Cr James
	Cr Darko	Cr Ritchie
	Cr Hulme	Cr Walker (abstained)
	Cr Kennedy	
	Cr Mulder	
	Cr Warren	

9. MOTIONS ON NOTICE

9.1 NOTICE OF MOTION – COUNCILLOR GOYNE HERBICIDE-FREE TRIAL – BELLERIVE BEACH PARKS

In accordance with Notice given, Councillor Goyne intended to move the following Motion:

“Requests that Council:

1. Note that increased utilisation levels of Council’s No Spray Register demonstrate community interest in the management and use of glyphosate-based herbicides in Council public open spaces.
2. Approve a 12 month trial during which no herbicides are applied within Bellerive Beach Park, including its playground, with weed and vegetation management across the Park undertaken using non-chemical or alternative methods for the duration of the trial.
3. Request the Chief Executive Officer to ensure appropriate monitoring and record-keeping is undertaken throughout the trial, including in relation to weed and vegetation control outcomes and amenity within the Park.
4. Request the Chief Executive Officer report the outcomes of the trial to Council at its conclusion, together with advice on whether a herbicide-free approach should be extended to other Council parks or public open spaces”.

With the Leave of the Meeting Cr Goyne amended her Motion and it was:

Decision:	MOVED Cr Goyne SECONDED Cr Walker	
	“Requests that Council approve a six-month herbicide-free trial of the Bellerive Beach Park Playground with a surrounding 1.5m exclusion zone to be conducted during peak growth period and request the Chief Executive Officer report back to Council on the outcomes and costs of the trial.”	
	The MOTION was put and LOST	
	FOR	AGAINST
	Cr Darko	Cr Blomeley
	Cr Goyne	Cr Chong
	Cr James	Cr Hulme
	Cr Ritchie	Cr Kennedy
	Cr Walker	Cr Mulder
		Cr Warren (abstained)

10. COUNCILLORS' QUESTION TIME

A Councillor may ask a question with or without notice at Council Meetings. No debate is permitted on any questions or answers.

10.1 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

(Seven days before an ordinary Meeting, a Councillor may give written notice to the Chief Executive Officer of a question in respect of which the Councillor seeks an answer at the meeting).

Cr Mulder gave notice of the following questions:

CLARENCE CRICKET CLUB

Clarence Cricket Club, amongst others, is concerned over lease renegotiations and reported moves to increase rent based on income from function areas.

1. Does Council have a policy position on reducing sporting club income streams from function areas and is this a common approach across all sports ground leases?
2. Will council officers suspend lease negotiations till the new council has had an opportunity to assess this and other policies relating to sports ground leases?

10.2 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**CLARENCE CRICKET CLUB**

1. Council is not seeking to reduce the income earned by the Clarence District Cricket Club from operating the commercial function centre, known as Clarence on the Bay.

The commercial operation of the function venue is beyond the terms of the current lease. The lease negotiation is aimed at bringing the commercial operation of the function venue into compliance with the lease and also National Competition Policy requirements, which apply because the venue is a council owned facility.

2. This matter is an operational issue and not a council policy issue. Council officers will continue lease negotiations so that the lease and NCP issues are resolved as quickly as possible. This is also in the interests of the Club. The aim is to ensure that the Club's financial position is viable and that the operating arrangements are in accordance with the lease terms and NCP requirements. We also note that Cricket Tasmania has offered to assist the parties which we welcome.

10.3 ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE – PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING

Cr Hunter

What is the status of closure and timeframes for repairing and reopening the foreshore track between Howrah and Little Howrah Beach?

ANSWER

(Acting Head of Infrastructure and Natural Assets) That track has been washed out for a number of years because of where it is located and we are doing an assessment of it to see what can be done and what budget may be required for repair.

Cr Hulme

1. My report that I tabled at a previous council meeting on the Eighth National Housing and Homelessness forum mentioned that one of the practices that many service providers were trying to implement was a “no wrong door” approach to people who are homeless and this means that service providers ensure that no matter who provides the service, a person seeking help can go to any provider without having to retell their story. Has there been any consideration given that council regularly deals with people sleeping rough as to how council can help implement a no wrong door approach when it comes to contact with people sleeping rough in Clarence?

ANSWER

(Head of Community and Culture) I have provided a copy of your report to officers in my team. We are currently looking at our approach to homelessness and looking at what our options are so it is something that we may consider in the future.

2. A business owner who operates a business in Lindisfarne Bay told me that he once asked the council about whether there could be signage erected to urge members of the public not to feed seagulls. I understand that we have such signage in relation to Pacific black ducks, but he was told “no”. I just wanted to get that clarified. Is that something that would potentially be progressed or are there any roadblocks to that?

ANSWER

(Acting Head of Infrastructure and Natural Assets) We would be happy to revisit that and have a closer look. We do try and do some education work with the community about not feeding wildlife and birds in particular.

(Further Information) Council recently received a request regarding the installation of an educational sign in the Simmons Park area to discourage bird feeding. Officers are currently in the process of producing updated signs to be inclusive of all birds, which will replace the existing ‘do not feed the ducks’ signs. Installation is anticipated to occur in September-October 2026.

Cr Walker

What budget allocation do we make in the annual budgets for civic receptions; what policy guidelines influence when the civic reception is warranted; and is it usual practice for civic receptions to be occurring during caretaker periods or similar?

ANSWER

Taken on notice.

(Further information) Council's 2026-2027 Budget includes a provision of \$5,500 for civic events expenditure. This allocation supports a range of civic activities. Council does not have a specific policy prescribing when a civic reception is warranted. Each occasion is considered on a case-by-case basis. Civic receptions are not necessarily precluded during a caretaker period. Any reception proposed during caretaker would be considered in accordance with Council's Election Caretaker Period Policy, including whether it forms part of ordinary or established civic business. No civic receptions have been held, or are scheduled to be held, during the current caretaker period.

Cr Goyne

1. An action coming out of the bushfire mitigation policy is to install fire trail markers through our relevant bushland areas to aid emergency workers. None of the local volunteer fire brigades that I have contacted in my area have been notified of the locations of these markers and their intended purpose. Will they be provided with a purpose mapping and information to assist the emergency response planning and when will that occur.

ANSWER

(Acting Head of Infrastructure and Natural Assets) My understanding is that it will occur, but I am happy to provide an update.

(Further information) As part of council's capital works program, fire trail markers are currently being installed across council's fire trail system, to aid fire management vehicle navigation across the network. The Tasmania Fire Service (TFS) will be provided with relevant fire trail information, including trail locations and classification, once installation and mapping updates are complete. Hard copy maps will also be provided, which the TFS can then distribute to the local brigades. This information will be provided to the TFS prior to the fire season.

2. On 10 August, I enquired about the requirement for an additional safety bollard to facilitate outdoor dining at the Bellerive Bakehouse in Percy Street. At that time, I noted that there was an existing bollard that had already been missing for several weeks. Given that the data provided in the answer indicated a significant increase in vehicle impacts involving these bollards since the upgrades, is there a replacement of this missing bollard, is it being prioritised and what is the estimated time of arrival of it?

ANSWER

Taken on notice.

(Further information) A replacement for the missing bollard is on order, with the expectation that it will be received and installed by the end of September 2026.

Cr Warren

1. Since closing off some of the parking spots in Bellerive and moving the bus in there, anecdotally I have noticed on a Saturday morning that it is very difficult to park which is I believe impacting on local business. So, has there been monitoring of that parking situation since that closure in the carpark?

ANSWER

Taken on notice.

(Further information) Council has been liaising with the contractor, Hazell Bros, regarding the parking arrangements associated with the Bellerive Ferry Terminal redevelopment works. During the initial stage of the project, a temporary bus was located within the carpark and used as an alternative to a contemporary site office. This arrangement was necessary as power, water and sewer services were not available while the temporary ferry ramp was being constructed so as to maintain ferry operations while construction occurs. The contractor has confirmed that the temporary ramp has now been completed and the bus has been permanently removed from the site. The site has now transitioned to the next stage of the approved Traffic Management Plan, resulting in the permanent construction site layout being established for the remainder of the works. Council will continue to work with Building Tasmania and their nominated contractor to minimise disruption to parking availability where practical during the redevelopment works.

2. I also noticed that on the first week after the closure there was a second disability spot allocated but that has not been there since then that I can see so I wanted to confirm if there are still two disability spots in that carpark at the ferry wharf?

ANSWER

Taken on notice.

(Further information) As part of the approved Traffic Management Plan, the two existing accessible parking spaces adjacent to the ferry terminal are located within the construction area and are therefore temporarily unavailable. To maintain accessible parking provision during construction, two replacement accessible parking spaces have been provided within the adjacent parking area.

10.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

A Councillor may ask a Question without Notice of the Chairman or another Councillor or the Chief Executive Officer. Note: the Chairman may refuse to accept a Question without Notice if it does not relate to the activities of the Council. A person who is asked a Question without Notice may decline to answer the question.

Questions without notice and their answers will be recorded in the following Agenda.

The Chairman may refuse to accept a question if it does not relate to Council's activities.

The Chairman may require a question without notice to be put in writing. The Chairman, a Councillor or the Chief Executive Officer may decline to answer a question without notice.

11. CLOSED MEETING

Regulation 17 of the Local Government (Meetings Procedures) Regulations 2025 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matter has been listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 17 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025.

11.1 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

In accordance with Regulation 17 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2025 the reports in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda were dealt with on the grounds that the detail covered in the reports relates to:

- (l) requests by councillors for leave of absence;

The content of reports and details of the Council decisions in respect to items listed in “Closed Meeting” are to be kept “confidential” and are not to be communicated, reproduced or published unless authorised by the Council.

Decision:**PROCEDURAL MOTION**

MOVED Cr Warren **SECONDED** Cr Chong

“That the Meeting be closed to the public to consider Regulation 17 matters, and that members of the public be required to leave the meeting room”.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Meeting closed at 8.12pm.